

# DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group - Meeting 13

13 November 2023 at 15:00

Location/ Web-Conference/Teleconference

Attendee	Company
<b>Richard Ellis (RE)</b>	National Grid
<b>Peter Waymont(PW)</b>	UKPN
<b>Dave Wornell(DW)</b>	National Grid
<b>Jaquie Barton (JB)</b>	National Grid
<b>Kara Burke</b>	NPg
Code administrator	
<b>Andy Green [AG]</b>	ElectraLink
<b>John Lawton (JL)</b>	ElectraLink
<b>Apologies</b>	

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Stakeholder Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Stakeholder Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference
- 1.2 The actions from this Stakeholder Group have been captured in an action log. The action log can be found at [appendix 1](#).

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting / Timeline for Delivery

---

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review and discuss the new MHHS timeline, review the consultation responses raised against the DCUSA MHHS drafted changes and review the updates drafted within the DCUSA legal text.

## 3. Review MHHS DCUSA development plan timeline.

---

- 3.1 JL began by taking the Stakeholder Group through the new timeline for the consultation responses and explained that there would be a legal review before the process enters a mop up stage for any new changes.
- 3.2 It was explained that there are two windows for the mop up of changes to take place, one in December and another in February.
- 3.3 It was highlighted that the DCUSA changes would unlikely make the December window so the Stakeholder Group will be working to the assumption that the changes will be pushed into the February window.
- 3.4 JL explained that the legal review takes place in December so the papers can be submitted at the beginning of January.
- 3.5 It was noted that the new development plan timeline will also be presented to the DCUSA panel in November.
- 3.6 The Stakeholder Group then move onto the consultation review.

## 4. Review of online consultation comments and process to respond.

---

- 4.1 The consultation review document can be found within **Attachment 2DCUSA comments from MHHS Tranche 4 DCUSA legal text**.
- 4.2 It was noted that there were twelve responses to review although two of the responses were duplicated. It was also noted that three parties had responded, two suppliers and one DNO.
- 4.3 The first comment was to address a typo as the text stated ‘agen’ and not ‘agent’, it was n this was comment that was duplicated.
- 4.4 The second change was to correct a minor drafting error to the glossary of terms in schedule 16 to update a definition to Energy Market Data Specification from Energy Data Market Specification.
- 4.5 The third comment was rejected as a change as it was agreed that this comment was potentially a misunderstanding by the party who raised it.

- 4.6 The fourth comment was rejected by the Stakeholder Group. It raised that there were some inconsistency as the DCUSA text refers to BSC and Balancing and Settlement code. It was agreed that consistency would be helpful, but to do so would mean amending definitions not impacted by the MHHS Programme. This suggestion can be raised with the DCUSA Panel as a potential housekeeping change if a DCUSA party member believed this was required.
- 4.7 The Fifth change was another typo with 'Agent' as it states 'Agen' in the initial drafted text.
- 4.8 The sixth comment was a typo within in the definition of Supercustomer DUoS Report, 'Energy Data Market Specification' as it should be 'Energy Market Data Specification'. This was accepted.
- 4.9 Comment seven was asking why the definition for Unmetered Supply Certificate had been amended as it would seem to be equally applicable to the MHHS arrangements.
- 4.10 This comment was rejected by the Stakeholder Group as the certificate is still applicable to both the existing arrangements and the MHHS arrangements. The suggested deletion is to simplify the text rather than refer to some of the contents and not all contents contained within the Unmetered Supplies Procedure.
- 4.11 Comment eight was in relation to the Unmetered Supplies Procedure definition and was suggesting amending 'or for MHHS' to 'which for MHHS'.
- 4.12 This comment was rejected as it was agreed that the one instance where 'or' was used within the legal text was correct within the context it was used for.
- 4.13 Comment nine was another typo to update the 'Energy Market Data Specification' definition which was raised in an earlier response. The Stakeholder Group accepted this comment.
- 4.14 Comment ten was asking if the DCUSA drafting should reference Line Loss Factor Identifier? This was rejected as the LLF ID is not used in DCUSA, only the LLFC ID and the proposed DUoS Tariff ID, so no reference to it was required.
- 4.15 Comments eleven and twelve were rejected as these were considered to be outside the scope of the DCUSA at this time.
- 4.16 That concluded the review of the consultation response review.

## 5. Review of Transitional Text

- 5.1 JL advised the Stakeholder Group that the draft legal text document had been updated to reflect the latest version of the DCUSA. The document under review can be found within **Attachment 3 DCUSA MHHS Transition Document Post Consultation**.
- 5.2 It was agreed to leave the definition for Industry Standing Data as originally drafted as this future proofed this text if the BSC /REC were to amend this definition at any point in the future.
- 5.3 It was agreed to leave the definition for MHHS as it was originally drafted at the DCUSA definition was fit for purpose. It was also noted the REC definition stated that MHHS was 'being introduced' which would lead to a future housekeeping change once MHHS is introduced.
- 5.4 It was highlighted that the definition for Supercustomer DUoS Report had been slightly updated from REP-002 to REP-002B since there are different versions based on recipient.
- 5.5 Sum of the definitions had been moved within the document to bring them in line in correct alphabetical order.

- 5.6 The changes that had been made as part of reviewing the consultation comments were also reviewed and signed off.

## 6. A.O.B

---

- 6.1 JL agreed that he would share the updated documents that had been reviewed today with the wider Stakeholder Group and give the Stakeholder Group a week to review.
- 6.2 It was agreed that regular DCUSA MHHS Stakeholder Group meetings were not needed at this time but it was noted that this would be kept under regular review.

## 7. Attachments

---

- Attachment 1 MHHS DCUSA Legal
- text development Part 2 Attachment 2 DCUSA comments from MHHS Tranche 4 DCUSA legal text
- Attachment 3 DCUSA MHHS Transition Document Post Consultation

## Appendix 1 – Actions Log

### New and Open Actions – (Open/Closed Session) or (Board)

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
13/1	Update the D	John Lawton	New Action

### Closed – (Open/Closed Session) or (Board)

Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
1.1	Update slide pack with correct DCUSA logo	John Lawton	Closed
1.2	Create a strawman version of the changes required for items 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the Stakeholder to begin a review	Peter Waymont	Closed
3.1	AG to send Stakeholder Group the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16, 32 and 33	Andy Green	Closed
3.2	The Stakeholder Group to review the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16, 32 and 33 prior to the next Stakeholder Group meeting on 22-02-2023.	All	Closed
3.1	AG to send Stakeholder Group the updated legal text for schedules 8, 16, 32 and 33	Andy Green	Closed

4.1	AG to update the legal text from DCP 415 into the draft legal text.	Andy Green	Closed
5.1	AG to speak with the MHHS programme and the REC to establish if SSCs and TPRs will be retained and if the LMA process can link into this	Andy Green	Closed
6.1	AG to request SSC/TPR data off distributors with demand-controlled areas and share with RECCo	Andy Green	Closed
7.1	AG to send the RECCo the questions posed by the Stakeholder Group	Andy Green	Closed
7.2	AG to set up Doodle Poll to agree the next meeting date once the RECCo had answer the questions posed in meeting 07	Andy Green	Closed
9.1	AG to raise a request at the next closed panel session to send final agendas only for the weekly meetings	Andy Green	Closed
7.2	AG to set up Doodle Poll to agree the next meeting date once the RECCo had answer the questions posed in meeting 07	Andy Green	Closed
12/1	Update the DCUSA consequential change legal text after the Stakeholder Groups review.	John Lawton	New Action